

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/840,722	MACLEOD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank W. Lu	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Frank W. Lu.

(2) Ram Shukla (SPE).

Status of Application: after non-final rejection

(3) Mr. David Parker (Reg. No.32,165).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2006

Time: 4 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant agreed with the examiner's amendments. .*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

20, 38, and 60-76

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Shukla and Mr. Lu proposed examiner's amendments (see QUAYLE ACTION) in order to allow this instant case, Mr. Parker agreed with the examiner's amendments.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)